



State of Rhode Island
Department of Administration / Division of Purchases
One Capitol Hill, Providence, Rhode Island 02908-5855
Tel: (401) 574-8100 Fax: (401) 574-8387

August 9, 2019

ADDENDUM #2

RFP #: 7598885

Title: Independent Validation & Verification for the Rhode Island Unified Health Infrastructure Project (UHIP)

**Submission Deadline: August 28, 2019 at 10:00 AM (ET)
Note Change**

Notice to Vendors

Extension of Closing Date:

The original RFP # 7598885 Independent Validation & Verification for the Rhode Island Unified Health Infrastructure Project (UHIP) submission deadline has been changed from August 21, 2019 at 10:00 AM (ET) to August 28, 2019 at 10:00 AM (ET).

Vendor Questions/ State Responses:

Attached are vendor questions with state responses. No Further questions will be answered.

Revised Appendix B: UHIP IV & V Cost Proposal Template

Vendors are instructed to use the revised Appendix B: UHIP IV & V Cost Proposal Template to submit their cost proposals, see attached.

David J. Francis
Interdepartmental Project Manager

Interested parties should monitor this website, on a regular basis, for any additional information that may be posted

Vendor Questions for RFP #7598885 Independent Validation & Verification for the Rhode Island Unified Health Infrastructure Project (UHIP)

Questions Received at the Pre-Proposal Conference on 7/23/2019

Question 1: Are the oral presentations part of the technical cost phase's point structure?

Answer to question 1: Yes, it is included in the technical review.

Question 2: Can the technical proposal be more than 6 pages long?

Answer to question 2: During the Conference, the State indicated it would consider this, which prompted question 3. Since the conference, the State has determined it will allow up to 50 pages for the technical response, which would not include resumes.

Question 3: If the State determines it will not increase the current number of pages in the technical response, can vendors use smaller fonts?

Answer to question 3: See the Answer to question 2 above.

Question 4: A vendor who joined the conference call could not hear question 1, so the State reiterated it.

Answer to question 4: See the answer to Question 1 above.

Questions Received by Email

Question 1:

The RFP (p. 35 of 39) states, “The technical proposal is limited to six (6) pages (this excludes any appendices and as appropriate, resumes of key staff that will provide services covered by this request).” We understand the State wishes to keep RFP responses as concise as possible. However, after an initial review of the extensive requirements of the Technical Proposal (pp 29-31), we feel a 6-page limit prohibits us from providing the full detail necessary to meet the requirements of “all information described earlier in this solicitation” including 20+ pages of requirements in Section 3. From our experience with IV&V proposals of similar scope, the technical proposal generally contains 150-200 pages.

Question: We ask that the State please remove the page limit for the Technical Proposal or clarify whether the full details needed to accurately reply to at least **3. Work Plan** and **4. Approach/Methodology** can either be excluded from the 6-page limit or that these 2 major response sections (worth 45 of 70 technical points) may be submitted as appendices? If submission as appendices is acceptable, please confirm the content included as appendices will be fully evaluated toward the full point value of each criteria.

Answer to question 1: Please see the reply to question number 2, during the pre-bid conference, listed above.

Question 2: In Section 3.1.8 Key Personnel Requirements (pg 23 of 39) the RFP identifies the Contract Manager as one of six key roles and states, “The IV&V Vendor shall designate, as part of its Key Personnel, a Contract Manager who shall be the primary contact for all matters related to this Contract. This Contract Manager will have signatory and decision-making authority for the IV&V Vendor with respect to project management, ensure that the IV&V Vendor adheres to timelines, methodologies, consumer expectations, and any other contractual requirements, and will maintain a leadership role capable of understanding the scope of the project(s) in all aspects.”

Question: We ask the State to alter the requirement so the Contract Manager still is identified as a key role but one that can be combined into another role, as long as the duties and requirements are met. This will allow vendors to maximize the time, abilities and efficiencies of each key onsite role while controlling costs.

Answer to question 2:

The State has determined that the following IV&V Vendor personnel qualify as “Key Personnel” and as such, are listed below:

- Project Manager/Engagement Manager
- Contract Manager
- Quality Assurance Manager

- Senior Systems/Security Analyst
 - Business Analyst
- Roles may not be combined or shared.

Question 3: The RFP includes the Submission Deadline of August 21, 2019 at 10:00 am Eastern Time (ET). We have reviewed the full RFP requirements and estimate additional time will be required to respond fully to the details requested.

Question: We ask the State to provide additional time to prepare and submit thorough and complete responses to the RFP. Please extend the due date for submission to September 18, 2019.

Answer to question 3: The State will be extending the submission deadline to August 28, 2019 at 10:00 AM ET.

Question 4: In section 5, “Evaluation and Selection” the state outlines the scoring methodology for the proposal responses. Vendor technical proposals scoring 60 points will have their cost proposals evaluated. However, the 10 points for Vendor Presentation is critical to receive all the available points for the State to evaluate the cost proposals.

Question: We ask the State to please clarify the order and timing of evaluation including the Technical proposal (60 points), Vendor Presentation (10 points), and the Cost Proposal (30 points + ISBE bonus).

Answer to question 4:

When vendor bids are received, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) will schedule vendor presentations and provide an agenda for vendors to follow. The State will do its best to allow ample time for vendor staff to travel onsite to Providence. Simultaneously, the State will review technical proposals. After vendor presentations, all Technical Scoring will be completed by the TEC. This includes all categories prior to the Cost Proposal section. Any vendor(s) receiving a minimum of 60 points will have their Cost Proposals open. Cost points will be assigned according to the algorithm explained in the RFP.

Question 5: The RFP, PAR 39 Business Continuity Plan, states that “The Contractor shall prepare and maintain a Business Continuity Plan upon execution of this Agreement, which shall include, but not be limited to, the Contractor’s procedure for recovery of data and recovery for all operation components in case of an emergency or disaster. Upon written or oral request by the State, the Contractor shall provide the State a copy of the above described Business Continuity Plan within ten (10) days of the State’s request.”

Question: We ask the State to remove this requirement as there are no operational components for a contract for Independent Verification and Validation services

Answer to question 5:

The State will not remove this requirement.

Question 6: The RFP, SECTION 3: SCOPE OF WORK AND REQUIREMENTS, under 3.0 (pg 7 of 39) notes that “vendors are advised to review *Appendix D – State Of Rhode Island Master Services Agreement.*”

Question: Appendix D outlines terms and conditions of a Master Service Agreement. Following PAR. 45, the final page contains signature sections for the Vendor and State. Please confirm this is a sample document that would be completed and signed by the vendor after the award is made and not as part of the RFP submission.

Answer to question 6: Yes, the State confirms.

Question 7: In the RFP, Addendum sections, there are a number of addendum documents that contain blanks for completion as well as sections to sign and date the document. These are:

- ADDENDUM VIII: DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE POLICY CONTRACTOR CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE
- ADDENDUM IX: SUBCONTRACTOR COMPLIANCE
- ADDENDUM X: CERTIFICATION REGARDING ENVIRONMENTAL TOBACCO SMOKE
- ADDENDUM XII: CERTIFICATION REGARDING DEBARMENT, SUSPENSION, AND OTHER RESPONSIBILITY MATTERS – PRIMARY COVERED TRANSACTIONS
- ADDENDUM XV: BYRD ANTI-LOBBYING AMENDMENT
- ADDENDUM XIX: BUSINESS ASSOCIATE AGREEMENT ADDENDUM

Question: Please confirm these are sample documents that would be completed and signed by the vendor after the award is made and not as part of the RFP submission.

Answer to question 7: The State confirms that these Addendums are not to be provided as part of the vendor bid.

Question 8: Question: Please confirm that an acknowledgement statement is sufficient for #5 in RFP Section 4.A Technical Proposal to meet the 10 point evaluation score. If not, please clarify what is expected to be responsive to this requirement in the Technical

Proposal?

Answer to question 8: The Technical Evaluation Committee will evaluate each vendors presentation and award up to 10 points based upon the presentation.

Question 9: Section 3: Scope of Work and Requirements (pages 7-29) includes 13 sub-categories within sub-section 3.1 Specific Activities / Tasks. However, the requirements included in the instructions for the Technical Proposal, 3 Work Plan, only sub-section **3.1.4 Specific Tasks** are specifically requested in the response.

Question: Please clarify where each of the sub-sections 3.1.1 – 3.1.13 are to be addressed in the Technical Response.

Answer to question 9:

Section 3: Scope of Work and Requirements details specific activities and tasks that are required. The State would expect vendors to discuss their experience with these specific activities and tasks as part of their Technical Proposal.

Question 10: In Section 3.1.8 Key Personnel Requirements (pg 23 of 39) the RFP indicates that the Contract Manager will have signatory and decision-making authority. Our company restricts signatory authority to very few individuals for security and financial controls. Our Project Manager and Contract Manager will have the ability to quickly gain signatures for contractual needs formal project changes.

Question: Please clarify the requirement for signatory authority so that we identify the appropriate key personnel and related processes for contractual signatures. We ask that the State remove the signatory requirement from the Key Personnel Requirements?

Answer to question 10:

Section 3.1.8 remains unchanged.

Question 11: Page 9, “The IV&V service provider will review the project and system processes and progress in areas including, but not limited to: 1.f. Reasonability, thoroughness, and quality of Medicaid Information Technology Architecture (“MITA”) State self-assessment (“SSA”), concept of operations, information architecture, and data architecture.”

- a. Is the firm that conducted the MITA SSA precluded from reviewing the reasonability, thoroughness, and quality of MITA SSA work product?

- b. If so, would this also preclude the firm that conducted the MITA SSA work from being awarded this IV&V project?

Answer to question 11:

- a. No
- b. Not Applicable

Question 12: Page 15, Section 3.1.3: Please confirm that the expected IV&V contract start date is anticipated to be on/about January 2, 2020.

Answer to question 12: The State confirms that date to be accurate, as of the date the State replies to these questions. Based on subsequent steps in this process, however, the date may change at which time, it will be communicated by the State.

Question 13: Page 21, 3.1.6 Conflict Of Interest. Please clarify and confirm that the company contracted for IV&V must not have any contractual, financial, business, or beneficial interest with the current implementation and maintenance and operations vendor (Deloitte Consulting LLP), including work in all other states, in addition to the State of Rhode Island?

Answer to question 13: The successful bidder must not have any conflicts, as described in the RFP, for the duration of the IV&V project/contract and during the proposal evaluation.

Question 14: Page 21, 3.1.6 Conflict Of Interest. Are firms that provide other consulting and/or auditing services for HealthSource Rhode Island precluded from proposing on this project? For example, is the firm that provides the programmatic and financial audits for HealthSource Rhode Island precluded from providing IV&V services for UHIP?

Answer to question 14: The State does not preclude any company or person from presenting a proposal on any RFP. However, during the proposal evaluation, any bidder that does not meet the criteria of and within the RFP requirements will not be considered when awarding the project.

Question 15: Page 23, 3.1.8 Key Personnel Requirements. Is it acceptable for proposed staff positions to be shared or split between two individuals?

Answer to question 15:

The State has determined that the following IV&V Vendor personnel qualify as “Key Personnel” and as such, are listed below:

- Project Manager/Engagement Manager
- Contract Manager
- Quality Assurance Manager
- Senior Systems/Security Analyst
- Business Analyst

Roles may not be combined or shared.

In addition, the State requests that as part of the technical proposal response document, vendors are to provide the following:

Staffing planned	Total FTEs	Total hours assumed during year 1 [first 12 months]
General staff required	Enter total count	
Security staff required	Enter total count	
TOTAL		

Question 16: Appendix B: UHIP IV&V Cost Proposal Template. Can a UHIP project schedule, roadmap, and/or release plans be made available to help proposers more accurately estimate the year 1 through year 3 monthly IV&V staffing levels by position needed to conduct the requested IV&V work detailed in Section 3.0, Scope of Work and Requirements?

Answer to question 16:

The draft release dates for calendar year 2020 are shown in the table below. There are two (2) Major Releases which are shown with the actual enhancement hours, and the number of defect fixes per release.

Summary View of Proposed CY2020 Release Cadence + Capacity

Fix Version	Type	Release Date	BRRs	Problem Tickets*	Weeks of DSN/SOL	Weeks of DEV	Weeks of SIT	Weeks of UAT	Weeks of TFP
7.28.1	Patch	1/17/2020	Small (<1,500)	100	4	4	4	3	1
7.28.2	Patch	2/14/2020	Small (<1,500)	100	4	4	4	3	1
7.29	Major	3/20/2020	9,000 hrs + OPA Upgrade	120-140	7+	6+	6	5	2
7.29.1	Patch	4/17/2020	Small (<1,500)	120-140	4	4	4	3	1
7.29.2	Patch	5/15/2020	Small (<1,500)	120-140	4	4	4	3	1
7.30	Major	6/19/2020	15,500 hrs + Oracle Upgrade	60	7+	6+	6	5	2
7.30.1	Patch	7/17/2020	Small (<1,500)	130-150	4	4	4	3	1
7.30.2	Patch	8/14/2020	Small (<1,500)	130-150	4	4	4	3	1
7.30.3	Patch	9/18/2020	Small (<1,500)	130-150	4	4	4	3	1
7.30.4	Patch	10/16/2020	Small (<1,500)	130-150	4	4	4	3	1
7.30.5	Patch	11/20/2020	Small (<1,500)	130-150	4	4	4	3	1
7.30.6	Patch	12/18/2020	Small (<1,500)	130-150	4	4	4	3	1

*This is a target range of problem tickets to be included in the release but actual number of tickets is confirmed via MPC and may vary from these targets based on scope & testing complexity

Question 17: Appendix E, “In the event a Conflict of Interest arises during the pendency of this RFP evaluation, I certify that I will provide prompt notice to the Division of Purchases and will be disqualified from further evaluation.” Is it expected that the company contracted with for this IV&V project must not have any contractual, financial, business or beneficial interest with the current implementation and maintenance and operations vendor Deloitte Consulting LLP for the full duration of the IV&V project work, in addition to the IV&V proposal evaluation period?

Answer to question 17: Yes, the company contracted for IV&V must not have any contractual, financial, business, or beneficial interest with the current implementation and maintenance and operations vendor (Deloitte Consulting LLP) during the proposal evaluation process and during the full duration of the IV&V work project.

Question 18: It is our understanding that the Unified Health Infrastructure Project (UHIP) is in phase II of its implementation life cycle.

- a. Did the State team produce MEET related documentation for Phase I of this project? If so, can the State share this documentation?
- b. Was there a vendor that created the specific MEET documentation for Phase I?

3.0- General Scope of Work - Procurement Objective (The Medicaid Eligibility & Enrollment Toolkit (MEET))-

Answer to question 18:

- a. No.
- b. Not applicable.

Question 19: The table identifies different security scan and monitoring activities anticipated to be performed by the vendor. The SOW requests that pricing should be indicated for each security activity to allow a menu of security activities to be selected from. However, the pricing sheet template does not seem to have the framework to report the price for these activities/services.

- a. Will the State be updating the pricing template?
- b. Further, does the vendor assume that the software licenses associated with these scans are provided by the State or should the vendor include the associated license/servicing costs in our cost proposal.

3.1.2- Perform Security Assessments/Audits- Table for Security Activities

Answer to question 19:

- a. Find the attached revised Appendix B: UHIP IV & V Cost Proposal Template. It has been broken out by IV&V General, IV&V Security, and Materials/Tools/Licensing costs. The IV&V Security pricing for all three (3) years should reflect all security items defined in the RFP. The additional requested table of security activities with the pricing for each activity described in Table 1 should be included as described in section 3.1.2. The revised template must be use by vendors to submit their cost proposals.

Additionally, the State is clarifying that the hours and costs pre-loaded into the template are merely examples, and that vendors should load hours and costs which are reflective of their proposals.

- b. The license for HP Fortify will be provided by the state. Other tools will not be provided by the state and associated costs should be included in the cost proposal.

Question 20: It was mentioned during the conference call that the technical evaluation also includes the oral presentation. Do the vendors assume that all bidders who submit the proposal will be required to conduct an oral presentation before the cost proposals are opened?

SECTION 5: EV ALUATION AND SELECTION

Answer to question 20:

Yes, Vendor Presentations account for 10 points towards the Possible 70 Total Technical Points. All vendors must complete this requirement. Vendors then must score 60 out of the possible 70 points to have their cost proposal opened.

CRITERIA	POSSIBLE POINTS
1. Staff Qualifications	5 Points
2. Capability, Capacity, and Qualifications of the Offeror	10 Points
3. Work Plan	25 Points
4. Approach/Methodology	20 Points
5. Vendor Presentation	10 Points
Total Possible Technical Points	70 Points
Cost Proposal	30 Points
Total Possible Evaluations Points	100 Points
ISBE Participation	6 Bonus Points
Total Possible Points	106 Points

Question 21: Are there are vendors precluded from responding to this RFP and/or completing this scope of work?

RFP Section: 3.1.6 Conflict of Interest

PDF Page Number: 21

Answer to question 21: Refer to questions 13 & 14

Question 22: Section 3.1.4, Part 10.D.1.g. on Page 17 states, “*Onsite Key personnel should plan on being on site Monday thru Friday a minimum of a 40-hour work week, and during weekends in the event of a major release.*” Please clarify if the language regarding onsite key personnel applies to only during the event of a major release, or if it’s the state’s expectation that the key personnel be on site every week regardless of the project phase? In addition, would the state consider an alternate on site presence schedule as a means of cost savings for the state?

Answer to question 22:

The State will **NOT** require the IV&V Vendor to be on-site during weekends in the event of a major release.

No, the State will not consider an alternate on-site presence.

Question 23: Section 4.A.2.a on page 30 states that the IV&V vendor qualifications include, “*Proven IV&V experience of at least five (5) years, providing IV&V services for government health programs or commercial health insurers.*” Would the state consider a firm with over five years of experience with claims operations rather than systems in government health care?

Answer to question 23:

All vendors are encouraged to apply however, Section 4.A.2.a IV&V Qualifications states “Vendors will not be considered under this RFP and will be deemed nonresponsive if they fail to meet the following qualifications:

- Proven IV&V experience of at least five (5) years, providing IV&V services for enterprise information technology (“IT”) applications;
- Proven IV&V experience of at least five (5) years, providing IV&V services for government health programs or commercial health insurers;
- Demonstrated ability to provide experienced IV&V professional staff, with a minimum of five (5) years ‘experience providing IV&V services;
- A corporation which has offices and conducts operations within the United States.”

Question 24:

At the pre-bid conference yesterday, vendors were asked to sign the sign-in sheet once it becomes available. However, the attached Addendum 1 does not seem like we can manipulate the sign-in sheet. Also, upon checking Addendum 1, we see that our attendees, Gwyn Jackson and Bonnie Harris, were already *signed in* in the “Vendor listing of dial-in participants” on page 7.

Is there an action item for vendors on this document, or is it simply for FYI?

Thank you very much for your time.

Answer to question 24: This is to provide information as to who attended or called into the conference.

Question 25: Section 7. Proposal Contents

1. Proposals shall include the following:

a. One completed and signed RIVIP Bidder Certification Cover Form (included in the original copy only) downloaded from the Division of Purchases website at www.purchasing.ri.gov. Do not include any copies in the Technical or Cost proposals.

2. One completed and signed Rhode Island W-9 (included in the original copy only) downloaded from the Division of Purchases website at <http://www.purchasing.ri.gov/rivip/publicdocuments/fw9.pdf>. Do not include any copies in the Technical or Cost proposals.

- a. Can the State please clarify if the signatures need to be wet signatures, or if electronic signatures will suffice?
- b. Can the State please also clarify which original copy it is referring to?

The RIVIP, Technical & Cost Proposals, ISBE, W9, Conflict of Interest Form, and anywhere as stated within the RFP.

- c. Should vendors submit the RIVIP Bidder Certification Cover Form and the W-9 form together, in a separate envelope?

Answer to question 25:

- a. *All originals need to have wet signatures.*
- b. *The RIVIP, Technical & Cost Proposals, ISBE, W9, Conflict of Interest Form, and anywhere as stated within the RFP.*
- c. Include the W-9 and RIVIP Bidder Certification Cover Forms together in the same envelope, clearly marked.

Question 26: Section 4. Proposal A. Technical Proposal /5. Vendor Solution Presentation

Narrative and format: The proposal should address specifically each of the following elements:

5. Vendor Solution Presentation - Vendors are expected to present their overall proposal, including their Work Plan, approach and methodology, and staffing plan including meeting 3 Key Members of their IV&V Team.

Vendor Solution Presentations will be scheduled accordingly once bids are opened, via the contacts listed on a vendor's cover sheet, at which time vendors will be informed of the presentation day, time, location, schedule and requirements. The State will make every attempt to accommodate vendor schedules.

Overall, vendors will describe what is contained in their technical proposal; no new information can be garnered from this process.

- a. As part of the instructions for Section 4, it says to address each of the following elements under it. One of these elements is 5. Vendor Solution Presentation that details what will be expected of Vendors during the presentation.
- b. Can the State please clarify what information should be presented under this section as part of our Technical Proposal?

Answer to question 26:

- a. Vendor Solution Presentation - Vendors are expected to present their overall proposal, including their Work Plan, approach and methodology, and staffing plan including meeting 3 Key Members of their IV&V Team.
- b. Vendor Presentations will be limited to 60 minutes in duration.

Question 27: Appendix A3. Execution of Proposed ISBE Participation Rate. Proposers shall be evaluated and scored based on the amounts and rates submitted in their proposals.

Can the State please confirm if there is a MBE, WBE, AND/OR ISBE participation % goal that it expects vendors to meet? If there is, can the State please share the participation goal?

Answer to question 27: Pursuant to RI Gen Laws § 37-14.1-1, it is the policy of the State of Rhode Island to support the fullest possible participation of firms owned and controlled by minorities and women in state funded contracts and in state purchases of goods and services. The ISBE Evaluation process and associated ISBE Points System was created as a market driven approach to maximize the opportunity for participation of MBE, WBE and Disability Business Enterprises on RFPs. While there is no set ISBE participation percentage assigned to this solicitation, it is the goal of the State of Rhode to generate competition amongst vendors to maximize ISBE participation, and to award the maximum ISBE participation points to the vendor with the highest ISBE participation rate accordingly.

Question 28: I am in the process of reviewing the bid documents and I noticed that Appendix C: RI Bridges MITA 3.0 Specific Information is missing part of the page. The section starts on page 47). I think the page layout (horizontal vs. vertical) so parts of the pages in that section are cut off. Is it possible to repost that Appendix?

Answer to question 28: There are not any problems with the original posting, see here: <http://www.purchasing.ri.gov/RIVIP/StateAgencyBids/7598885.pdf> . Please consult your IT Department.

Question 29: I pulled the addendum from the site. The version I downloaded is only showing half of the power point page.
Can you share a different version?

Answer to question 29: There are not any problems with the addendum 7598885A1 that is posted, see here: <http://www.purchasing.ri.gov/RIVIP/StateAgencyBids/7598885A1.pdf> . Please consult your IT Department.

Revised Appendix B: UHIP IV & V Cost Proposal Template
Rhode Island RFP # 7598885 UHIP IV&V Services
Vendor Name:

Option	Hourly rate	Month 1	Month 2	Month 3	Month 4	Month 5	Month 6	Month 7	Month 8	Month 9	Month 10	Month 11	Month 12	TOTAL
Year 2														
IV&V General		hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	HOURS TOTAL \$
1 Role / Type name	\$10.00	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	2016 \$ 20,160.0
2 Role / Type name	\$10.00	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	2016 \$ 20,160.0
3 Role / Type name	\$10.00	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	2016 \$ 20,160.0
4 Role / Type name	\$10.00	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	2016 \$ 20,160.0
5 Role / Type name	\$10.00	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	84	840	84	840	84	840	1764 \$ 17,640.0
6 Role / Type name	\$10.00	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	2016 \$ 20,160.0
7	\$0.00	168	0	168	0	168	0	168	0	168	0	168	0	2016 \$ -
8	\$0.00	168	0	168	0	168	0	168	0	168	0	168	0	2016 \$ -
9	\$0.00	168	0	168	0	168	0	168	0	168	0	168	0	2016 \$ -
TOTAL		1512	10080	1512	10080	1512	10080	1428	9240	1428	9240	1512	10080	17892 \$ 118,440.0

Option	Hourly rate	Month 1	Month 2	Month 3	Month 4	Month 5	Month 6	Month 7	Month 8	Month 9	Month 10	Month 11	Month 12	TOTAL
Year 2														
IV&V Security		hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	HOURS TOTAL \$
1 Role / Type name	\$10.00	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	2016 \$ 20,160.0
2 Role / Type name	\$10.00	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	2016 \$ 20,160.0
3 Role / Type name	\$10.00	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	2016 \$ 20,160.0
4 Role / Type name	\$10.00	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	2016 \$ 20,160.0
5 Role / Type name	\$10.00	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	84	840	84	840	84	840	1764 \$ 17,640.0
6 Role / Type name	\$10.00	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	168	1680	2016 \$ 20,160.0
7	\$0.00	168	0	168	0	168	0	168	0	168	0	168	0	2016 \$ -
8	\$0.00	168	0	168	0	168	0	168	0	168	0	168	0	2016 \$ -
9	\$0.00	168	0	168	0	168	0	168	0	168	0	168	0	2016 \$ -
TOTAL		1512	10080	1512	10080	1512	10080	1428	9240	1428	9240	1512	10080	17892 \$ 118,440.0

Option	Other Costs, if applicable	Quantity	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	TOTAL \$
Year 2															
1	Materials /Tools /Licensing		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	\$120.00
2	Materials /Tools /Licensing		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	\$120.00
3	Materials /Tools /Licensing		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	\$120.00
	TOTAL		30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	\$360.00

Total of Option Year 2 Costs \$ 237,240.0

Instructions

Fill in the Role /Type & name based on team size proposed

Fill in the hourly rate per resource

State assumes maximum 168 hours per month per resource ; however if any resource is rolling off or need part-time basis please adjust the hours worked

Hours, Costs and Quantities which are pre-loaded into the template are merely examples, and vendors should include any/all which are reflective of their proposals.

Materials/Tools/Licensing cost categories are listed, and if applicable, information for any are to be provided. If a number of licenses is applicable, for example, vendors should include that under the 'Quantity' column.

Include the name and version numbers of the tools, where applicable.

Qualified resources may work a percentage of time in both the IV&V General and Security space.

Revised Appendix B: UHIP IV & V Cost Proposal Template
Rhode Island RFP # 7598885 UHIP IV&V Services
Vendor Name:

Option	Hourly rate	Month 1	Month 2	Month 3	Month 4	Month 5	Month 6	Month 7	Month 8	Month 9	Month 10	Month 11	Month 12	TOTAL
Year 3														
	IV&V General	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	HOURS TOTAL \$
1	Role / Type name	\$10.00 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	2016 \$ 20,160.0
2	Role / Type name	\$10.00 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	2016 \$ 20,160.0
3	Role / Type name	\$10.00 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	2016 \$ 20,160.0
4	Role / Type name	\$10.00 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	2016 \$ 20,160.0
5	Role / Type name	\$10.00 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 84	1680 84	1680 84	1680 84	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1764 \$ 17,640.0
6	Role / Type name	\$10.00 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	2016 \$ 20,160.0
7		\$0.00 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	2016 \$ -
8		\$0.00 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	2016 \$ -
9		\$0.00 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	2016 \$ -
	TOTAL		1512 10080	1512 10080	1512 10080	1512 10080	1428 9240	1428 9240	1428 9240	1512 10080	1512 10080	1512 10080	1512 10080	17892 \$ 118,440.0

Option	Hourly rate	Month 1	Month 2	Month 3	Month 4	Month 5	Month 6	Month 7	Month 8	Month 9	Month 10	Month 11	Month 12	TOTAL
Year 3														
	IV&V Security	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	hours \$	HOURS TOTAL \$
1	Role / Type name	\$10.00 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	2016 \$ 20,160.0
2	Role / Type name	\$10.00 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	2016 \$ 20,160.0
3	Role / Type name	\$10.00 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	2016 \$ 20,160.0
4	Role / Type name	\$10.00 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	2016 \$ 20,160.0
5	Role / Type name	\$10.00 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 84	1680 84	1680 84	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1764 \$ 17,640.0
6	Role / Type name	\$10.00 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	1680 168	2016 \$ 20,160.0
7		\$0.00 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	2016 \$ -
8		\$0.00 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	2016 \$ -
9		\$0.00 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	0 168	2016 \$ -
	TOTAL		1512 10080	1512 10080	1512 10080	1512 10080	1428 9240	1428 9240	1428 9240	1512 10080	1512 10080	1512 10080	1512 10080	17892 \$ 118,440.0

Option	Other Costs, if applicable	Quantity	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	TOTAL \$
Year 3															
1	Materials /Tools /Licensing		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	\$120.00
2	Materials /Tools /Licensing		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	\$120.00
3	Materials /Tools /Licensing		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	\$120.00
	TOTAL		30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	\$360.00

Total of Option Year 3 Costs \$ 237,240.0

Instructions

Fill in the Role /Type & name based on team size proposed

Fill in the hourly rate per resource

State assumes maximum 168 hours per month per resource ; however if any resource is rolling off or need part-time basis please adjust the hours worked

Hours, Costs and Quantities which are pre-loaded into the template are merely examples, and vendors should include any/all which are reflective of their proposals.

Materials/Tools/Licensing cost categories are listed, and if applicable, information for any are to be provided. If a number of licenses is applicable, for example, vendors should include that under the 'Quantity' column.

Include the name and version numbers of the tools, where applicable.

Qualified resources may work a percentage of time in both the IV&V General and Security space.