



ADDENDUM No. "2" to RFP No. B2014001

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) FOR
Migration of Judiciary website**

Date of Addendum No. "2" Issued: February 20, 2014

Purpose of this Addendum is to:

- ❖ Publish answers to questions received after the pre bid conference held on February 18, 2014.



Proposal: Migration of Judiciary website(s) to a Microsoft Technologies (2013 or higher) infrastructure
001

RFP Questions

Last Day to Submit Written Questions
Submit Questions to:

February 19, 2014 at 12:00 PM ET
purchasing@courts.ri.gov

Section	Question
4.1.1	What constitutes acceptable "evidence"? In 4.1.1 we are looking for other projects / work the vendor has done using Microsoft software.
4.1.2	What constitutes acceptable "evidence"? The Vendor should summarize their experience / years of work in the RFP.
4.2.5	Which documentation formats are compatible with AOSC's doc system? The courts can accept word doc and docx formats as well as adobe pdf.
5.1	All supported browsers will be tested and validated. Can a list be provided specifying all browser minimum version numbers for which support is required, such as IE8. The courts would like to be compatible with the most current as well as the last 2 releases of the major browsers (IE, Firefox, Chrome and Safari)
5.3 (#4)	Is the expectation that the vendor will recreate all custom functionality or will existing code for any compiled components be made available for update? Existing code would be made available, but we would like recommendations from vendor based on prior experience. Example- Is there functionality in SharePoint to create a form for an external request (see link below). http://www.courts.ri.gov/JudicialRecordsCenter/Pages/Civil%20Record%20Request%20Form.aspx
7.1	Given this general requirement "Vendor is not allowed to provide a single "all inclusive" price for the cost proposal", should the Vendor enter a cost in the fields indicated on the Bid form (section 13.0, RFP pg22)? Yes, but also include the breakdown for the total cost.
Other	Will there be a requirement for on-site work? Yes To which aspects of the project does this apply? Training and Meetings. We will also provide a workspace for when work needs to be done on site.
Other	Is there direct integration of data from sources outside the web site, apart from links to content on other web sites and on social media? No
Other	Can you please confirm that there is no requirement for multilingual presentation apart from existing pages targeted to specific languages? At this time there is not, but please include description and cost of how this would be done.
Other	Can you please confirm that only the following roles will be supported: anonymous users, content editors, content reviewers, administrators? Please describe any additional requirements around roles and authentication / authorization. Not familiar with all roles available – would like vendor recommendations.



Proposal: Migration of Judiciary website(s) to a Microsoft Technologies (2013 or higher) infrastructure
001

Other	Is content migration and site build out including any project support considered in scope or out of scope? If out of scope, is it anticipated that the site will enter into post project support mode at the conclusion of the technical work and hand off to client for site build out? ? content migration and site build out is considered in scope
Other	Is ongoing development (customization) support requested in addition to 24/7 system monitoring and break fix? Yes
Other	Who are the approval parties on the client side for system testing and functionality? RIJTC
Other	Though mentioned in the RFP, to clarify: Are functional behaviors to be exactly replicated from as is to the new system or are improvements anticipated? Would like vendor recommendations based on experience. The look and feel should be the same, but we would welcome anything that would make the site function better / easier to maintain.
Other	Though mentioned in the RFP, to clarify: Is interface design and information architecture to be exactly replicated from as is to the new system or are improvements anticipated? Would like vendor recommendations based on experience. Only look and feel must stay the same, other things can change based on best practices / vendor recommendation.